

Finitely summable Fredholm modules over higher rank groups and lattices

Michael Puschnigg

1 Introduction

In this note we use recent progress in rigidity theory to adress the question of existence of finitely summable Fredholm modules over group C^* -algebras.

We begin by giving some motivation and recalling previous work on this problem.

According to Kasparov [Ka1], the K -homology groups of a C^* -algebra A may be defined as the group of homotopy classes of bounded Fredholm modules A . In [Co1] Connes constructed a Chern-character for Fredholm modules which satisfy a strong regularity condition, called finite summability (with respect to a dense subalgebra of A). This Chern-character is given by a completely explicit cyclic cocycle. In particular, the index pairing of the given module with K -theory can be calculated by a simple index formula.

It appears therefore natural to ask whether every K -homology class can be represented by a finitely summable module.

In the case of the C^* -algebra of continuous functions on a smooth compact manifold the answer is affirmative. Every K -homology class may be represented by a module which is finitely summable over the algebra of smooth functions and Connes' character formula boils down to the classical Atiyah-Singer index formula in de Rham cohomology.

The situation changes if one passes to noncommutative C^* -algebras.

Recall that K -homology of C^* -algebras can be described either in terms of bounded or in terms of unbounded Fredholm modules. In [Co3] Connes has shown that there are no finitely summable unbounded Fredholm modules over the reduced C^* -algebra of any nonamenable discrete group at all! For bounded modules the story is different. In [Co1] Connes constructs bounded Fredholm modules over the reduced group C^* -algebras of free groups and lattices in $SU(1, 1)$ which are finitely summable over the group ring while representing nontrivial classes in K -homology. (Note that these groups are not amenable.)

The main observation in this note is that such examples do not exist if one passes

to lattices in higher rank Lie groups. In fact we have

Theorem 1.1. *i) Let G be a product of simple real Lie groups of real rank at least 2. Then every finitely summable Fredholm representation (see section 1.2) of G is homotopic to a multiple of the trivial representation.*

ii) Let Γ be a lattice in a product of simple real Lie groups of real rank at least two. Then every Fredholm module over $C_{max}^(\Gamma)$, which is finitely summable over $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$, is homotopic to a finite dimensional virtual representation of Γ .*

As a consequence we obtain in section 2 a complete description of the classes in $KK_G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$, $KK_*(C_{max}^*(\Gamma), \mathbb{C})$ and $KK_*(C_{red}^*(\Gamma), \mathbb{C})$ which can be realized by finitely summable Fredholm representations or Fredholm modules.

In particular, no nontrivial class in $KK_*(C_{red}^*(\Gamma), \mathbb{C})$, Γ a higher rank lattice, can be represented by a finitely summable module. This shows that for noncommutative C^* -algebras it is in general not possible to realise every K -homology class by a finitely summable Fredholm module.

The previous results are a simple consequence of recent deep work by Bader, Furman, Gelander and Monod in rigidity theory. They conjecture that every equicontinuous isometric action of a higher rank group or lattice on a uniformly convex Banach space admits a global fixed point. They verify this conjecture for isometric actions on classical L^p -spaces. One of their main results reads as follows:

Theorem 1.2. (Bader, Furman, Gelander, Monod) [BFGM] *Let G be a higher rank group or a higher rank lattice as in Theorem 1.1. Let (X, μ) be a standard measure space and let ρ be an isometric linear representation of G on $L^p(X, \mu)$. Then*

$$H_{cont}^1(G, \rho) = 0$$

for $1 < p < \infty$.

Adapted to noncommutative ℓ^p -spaces their result immediately implies our main theorem. The link is given by the well known correspondence between group cohomology and the Hochschild cohomology of group algebras, as was already observed and exploited by Connes in [Co3].

After developing the notions of finitely summable K -homology and finitely summable Fredholm representations in section 2 we are ready to state our results in section 3. Section 4 recalls the parts of the work of Bader, Furman, Gelander and Monod needed for our purpose and in section 5 we finally give the proofs of the theorems stated in section 3.

I want to express my gratitude to Thierry Fack, Fuad Kittaneh, Hideki Kosaki and Antony Wassermann for helpful discussions and correspondence concerning eigenvalue estimates for compact operators and in particular Hideki Kosaki for bringing Ando's work [A] to my attention.

2 The setup

2.1 Smooth K -homology

The K -homology groups of a C^* -algebra A are defined, according to Kasparov [Ka1], as the groups of homotopy classes of Fredholm modules over A .

Recall that an even **Fredholm module** $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{H}^\pm, \rho^\pm, F)$ over A is given by a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^\pm$, a pair $\rho = \rho^\pm : A \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})^\pm$ of representations of A , and an odd bounded linear operator $F : \mathcal{H}^\pm \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^\mp$ satisfying

$$\rho(A)(F^2 - 1) \subset \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}), \quad \rho(A)(F^* - F) \subset \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}), \quad [F, \rho(A)] \subset \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}). \quad (2.1)$$

Here $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the ideal of compact operators in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. The notion of an odd Fredholm representation is obtained by forgetting the $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -grading.

Fredholm modules should be viewed as generalized elliptic operators over A . In fact, if M is a smooth compact manifold without boundary, then every linear elliptic differential operator over M gives rise to a Fredholm module over $A = C(M)$, the algebra of continuous complex-valued functions on M . It can be shown that such geometric modules generate the whole K -homology group $KK_*(C(M), \mathbb{C})$ of M .

The previous example suggests a notion of smoothness (finitely summability) for Fredholm modules.

Definition 2.1. Let A be a C^* -algebra and let $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{H}^\pm, \rho^\pm, F)$ be a Fredholm module over A . Fix $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then $\mathcal{E}$ is said to be **p-summable** over the dense (involutive) subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subset A$ if instead of (2.1) the stronger conditions

$$\rho(\mathcal{A})(F^2 - 1) \subset \ell^p(\mathcal{H}), \quad \rho(\mathcal{A})(F^2 - 1) \subset \ell^p(\mathcal{H}), \quad [F, \rho(\mathcal{A})] \subset \ell^p(\mathcal{H}). \quad (2.2)$$

hold where

$$\ell^p(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}), \text{Trace}(T^*T)^{\frac{p}{2}} < \infty\} \quad (2.3)$$

denotes the Schatten ideal of p -summable compact operators.

According to Weyl, the Fredholm module associated to an elliptic operator $\mathcal{D}$ over the smooth compact manifold M is p -summable over $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M) \subset C(M)$ for $p > \frac{\dim(M)}{\text{ord}(\mathcal{D})}$.

Kasparov's K -homology groups $KK(A, \mathbb{C})$ are defined as the groups of equivalence classes of even (odd) Fredholm modules over A with respect to the equivalence relation generated by unitary equivalence, addition of degenerate modules, and operator homotopy.

Following Nistor [Ni], we introduce similar relations for smooth modules.

Definition 2.2. Let A be a C^* -algebra and let $\mathcal{A} \subset A$ be a dense (involutive) subalgebra. Denote by $\mathcal{E}_*^{(p)}((A, \mathcal{A}), \mathbb{C})$ the set of even(odd) Fredholm modules over A which are p -summable over $\mathcal{A}$.

Recall that a Fredholm module is called degenerate if all the terms in (2.1) are identically zero. Every degenerate module over A is thus p -summable over any dense subalgebra so that the set $\mathcal{E}_*^{(p)}((A, \mathcal{A}), \mathbb{C})$ is stable under addition of degenerate modules. There is also an obvious notion of unitary equivalence among smooth Fredholm modules. One has to be a bit careful about the appropriate notion of operator homotopy in the smooth context.

Definition 2.3. A smooth operator homotopy between $\mathcal{E}_0 = (\mathcal{H}, \rho, F_0)$ and $\mathcal{E}_1 = (\mathcal{H}, \rho, F_1)$, $\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1 \in \mathcal{E}_*^{(p)}((A, \mathcal{A}), \mathbb{C})$, is given by a family F_t , $t \in [0, 1]$ of bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$ connecting F_0 and F_1 such that

- $\mathcal{E}_t = (\mathcal{H}, \rho, F_t) \in \mathcal{E}_*^{(p)}((A, \mathcal{A}), \mathbb{C})$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$,
- $\{t \mapsto F_t\} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty([0, 1], \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}))$,
- the maps $a \mapsto \rho(a)(F_t^2 - 1)$, $a \mapsto \rho(a)(F_t^* - F_t)$,

and $a \mapsto [F_t, \rho(a)]$ lie in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}^\infty([0, 1], \ell^p(\mathcal{H})))$.

In the last condition $\mathcal{A}$ is assumed to be equipped with a bornology turning the inclusion $\mathcal{A} \subset A$ into a bounded operator. Thus bounded linear maps from $\mathcal{A}$ to bornological (or Fréchet) spaces make sense. In our examples we will only deal with the fine bornology generated by finite subsets, so that the boundedness is automatic.

Definition 2.4. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a dense (involutive) subalgebra of the C^* -algebra A . The smooth (p -summable) K -homology groups

$$\mathcal{KK}_*^{(p)}((A, \mathcal{A}), \mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{E}_*^{(p)}((A, \mathcal{A}), \mathbb{C}) / \sim$$

of the pair $(A, \mathcal{A})$ are defined as the groups of equivalence classes of p -summable Fredholm modules over $(A, \mathcal{A})$ with respect to the equivalence relation generated by unitary equivalence, addition of degenerate modules, and smooth operator homotopy.

There is an obvious homomorphism

$$\mathcal{KK}_*^{(p)}((A, \mathcal{A}), \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow KK_*(A, \mathbb{C}) \quad (2.4)$$

One is mainly interested in the image of this map.

If a K -homology class can be represented by a smooth K -cycle, then its Chern-Connes character can be given by a simple explicit character formula. This makes index calculations for smooth K -cycles much easier than in the general case.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a dense (involutive) subalgebra of the separable C^* -algebra A . Let*

$$\check{ch}^{(p)} : \mathcal{KK}_*^{(p)}((A, \mathcal{A}), \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow HP^*(\mathcal{A}) \quad (2.5)$$

be Connes' Chern character on smooth K -homology with values in periodic cyclic cohomology [Co1] and let

$$\check{ch} : KK_*(A, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow HC_{loc}^*(A) \quad (2.6)$$

be the Chern-Connes character on K -homology with values in local cyclic cohomology [Pu]. Then there is a natural commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{KK}_*^{(p)}((A, \mathcal{A}), \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & KK_*(A, \mathbb{C}) \\ \check{ch}^{(p)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \check{ch} \\ HP^*(\mathcal{A}) & \longrightarrow & HC_{loc}^*(\mathcal{A}) \longleftarrow HC_{loc}^*(A) \end{array} \quad (2.7)$$

2.2 Representation rings of locally compact groups

Let G be a locally compact (second countable) group. We denote by $R(G)$ the ring of unitary equivalence classes of finite dimensional unitary representations of G . Sum and product in $R(G)$ are given by the direct sum and the tensor product of (equivalence classes) of representations.

A remarkable generalization of the notion of finite dimensional unitary representation has been introduced by G. Kasparov [Ka2]:

Definition 2.6. A **Fredholm representation** $\mathcal{E}_G = (\mathcal{H}, \rho, F)$ of G is given by

- a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^\pm$
- a pair of unitary representations $\rho^\pm : G \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}^\pm)$ of G on the even(odd) part of $\mathcal{H}$.
- an odd bounded operator $F : \mathcal{H}^\pm \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^\mp$, which almost intertwines the representations ρ^+ and ρ^- , i.e.

$$\{g \mapsto \rho(g)F\rho(g)^{-1}\} \in C(G, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})),$$

$$F^2 - 1 \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}), (F - F^*) \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}), \rho(g)F\rho(g)^{-1} - F \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}), \forall g \in G.$$

We propose the following notion of a smooth Fredholm representation

Definition 2.7. A Fredholm representation $\mathcal{E}_G = (\mathcal{H}, \rho, F)$ of a locally compact group G is **p-summable** if

$$F^2 - 1 \in \ell^p(\mathcal{H}), \quad F^* - F \in \ell^p(\mathcal{H}), \quad \{g \mapsto \rho(g)F\rho(g)^{-1} - F\} \in C(G, \ell^p(\mathcal{H})).$$

Denote by $Fred(G)$ and $Fred^{(p)}(G)$, respectively, the set of Fredholm representations and p -summable Fredholm representations of G , respectively.

If $G = \Gamma$ is a discrete group, there is a tautological bijection

$$Fred^{(p)}(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{E}_0^{(p)}((C_{max}^*(\Gamma), \mathbb{C}\Gamma), \mathbb{C}) \quad (2.8)$$

between the set of p -summable Fredholm representations of Γ and the set of even Fredholm modules over the enveloping C^* -algebra $C_{max}^*(\Gamma)$ of $\ell^1(\Gamma)$, which are p -summable over $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$.

There are obvious notions of unitary equivalence, degeneracy, and operator homotopy of Fredholm representations. For finitely summable representations one puts

Definition 2.8. A smooth operator homotopy between p -summable Fredholm representations $\mathcal{E}_0 = (\mathcal{H}, \rho, F_0)$ and $\mathcal{E}_1 = (\mathcal{H}, \rho, F_1)$ is given by a family F_t , $t \in [0, 1]$ of bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$ connecting F_0 and F_1 such that

- $\mathcal{E}_t = (\mathcal{H}, \rho, F_t) \in Fred^{(p)}(G)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$,
- $\{t \mapsto F_t\} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty([0, 1], \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}))$,
- $\{g \mapsto \rho(g) F_t \rho(g)^{-1} - F_t\} \in C(G, \mathcal{C}^\infty([0, 1], \ell^p(\mathcal{H})))$.

Kasparov defines the G -equivariant K -homology of $\mathbb{C}$ as

$$KK_G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) = Fred(G) / \sim \quad (2.9)$$

as the group of equivalence classes of Fredholm representations of G with respect to the equivalence relation generated by unitary equivalence, addition of degenerate representations, and operator homotopy.

The smooth version of this is

Definition 2.9. Let G be a locally compact group and let $1 \leq p < \infty$. The p -summable, G -equivariant K -homology of $\mathbb{C}$

$$\mathcal{KK}_G^{(p)}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) = Fred^{(p)}(G) / \sim \quad (2.10)$$

is defined as the group of equivalence classes of p -summable Fredholm representations of G with respect to the equivalence relation generated by unitary equivalence, addition of degenerate representations, and smooth operator homotopy.

If $G = \Gamma$ is discrete there are tautological isomorphisms

$$KK_\Gamma(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\cong} KK(C_{max}^*(\Gamma), \mathbb{C}) \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$\mathcal{KK}_\Gamma^{(p)}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{KK}^{(p)}((C_{max}^*(\Gamma), \mathbb{C}\Gamma), \mathbb{C}) \quad (2.12)$$

The Kasparov product turns the (smooth) equivariant K -groups (2.9) and (2.10) into unital, associative rings. The tautological isomorphisms (2.11) and (2.12) become then ring isomorphisms.

Finally there is a natural ring homomorphism

$$R(G) \longrightarrow KK_G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \quad (2.13)$$

from the representation ring of G to the G -equivariant K -homology of $\mathbb{C}$, which assigns to a virtual finite dimensional unitary representation $[\rho_+] - [\rho_-]$ the Fredholm representation $\mathcal{E}_G = (\varrho_+ \oplus \varrho_-, 0)$. This homomorphism factors through the smooth equivariant K -homology rings $\mathcal{KK}_G^{(p)}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ for all $1 \leq p < \infty$.

3 Results

In the sequel we understand by a **higher rank group** the group of k -rational points of a Zariski-connected, simple, isotropic algebraic group of k -rank at least two over the local field k .

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a finite product of higher rank groups. Then*

$$\mathcal{KK}_G^{(p)}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \quad (3.1)$$

is generated by the trivial representation of G for $1 < p < \infty$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let Γ be a lattice (i.e. a discrete subgroup of finite covolume) in a finite product of higher rank groups. Then the tautological homomorphisms*

$$R(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{KK}_\Gamma^{(p)}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{KK}_0^{(p)}((C_{\max}^*(\Gamma), \mathbb{C}\Gamma), \mathbb{C}) \quad (3.2)$$

are isomorphisms and

$$\mathcal{KK}_1^{(p)}((C_{\max}^*(\Gamma), \mathbb{C}\Gamma), \mathbb{C}) = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

for $1 < p < \infty$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let Γ be a lattice in a finite product of higher rank groups. Then*

$$\mathcal{KK}_*^{(p)}((C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma), \mathbb{C}\Gamma), \mathbb{C}) = 0 \quad (3.4)$$

for $1 < p < \infty$, where $C_{\text{red}}^(\Gamma)$ denotes the reduced C^* -algebra of Γ , i.e. the enveloping C^* -algebra of the regular representation of Γ .*

Corollary 3.4. *Under the assumptions of 3.3 no nonzero class in the K -homology group $KK_*(C_{\text{red}}^*(\Gamma), \mathbb{C})$ can be represented by a Fredholm module which is finitely summable over $\mathbb{C}\Gamma$.*

4 The work of Bader, Furman, Gelfand and Monod

4.1 Uniformly convex Banach spaces

Recall that a Banach space B is **strictly convex** if the midpoint of every segment joining two points of the unit sphere $S(B)$ is in the interior of the unit ball. It is **uniformly convex** if the previous condition holds uniformly in the following sense: for all $\delta > 0$ there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\|x - y\| \geq \delta \Rightarrow \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \leq 1 - \epsilon \quad (4.1)$$

for all $x, y \in S(B)$.

If B is uniformly convex, then for every $\xi \in S(B)$ there is a unique $x \in S(B^*)$ such that $\langle x, \xi \rangle = 1$. This assignment defines a uniformly continuous homeomorphism

$$\iota : S(B) \longrightarrow S(B^*) \quad (4.2)$$

from the unit sphere of B to that of B^* . Uniformly convex spaces are reflexive. A Banach space is uniformly smooth if its dual space is uniformly convex.

Let $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathbf{O}(B)$ be an orthogonal (i.e. isometric) linear representation on a strictly convex real Banach space B . Then the subspace $B^{\rho(G)}$ of G -fixed vectors possesses a canonical complement

$$B' = ((B^*)^{\rho^*(G)})^\ominus \quad (4.3)$$

given by the subspace annihilated by the space $(B^*)^{\rho^*(G)}$ of all bounded linear functionals on B which are fixed under the contragredient representation ρ^* of G .

The representation ρ splits as direct sum of its restriction to an orthogonal representation on B' and the trivial representation on $B^{\rho(G)}$.

$$B \simeq B^{\rho(G)} \oplus B' \quad (4.4)$$

4.2 Isometric actions on uniformly convex spaces

An isometric action of a locally group G on a Banach space B is called continuous if the map $\pi : G \times B \longrightarrow B$ is continuous. Every isometry of a strictly convex space is real affine (it preserves midpoints of segments). Thus

$$\pi(g)v = \rho(g)v + \psi(g), \quad \forall g \in G, \forall v \in B \quad (4.5)$$

where $\rho : G \longrightarrow \mathbf{O}(B)$ is a continuous orthogonal ($\mathbb{R}$ -linear isometric) representation of G on B and $\psi : G \longrightarrow B$ is a continuous 1-cocycle on G with values in the G -module B :

$$\psi \in Z_{cont}^1(G, \rho) = \{\psi \in C(G, B), \psi(gh) = \psi(g) + \rho(g)\psi(h), \forall g, h \in G\}. \quad (4.6)$$

There is thus a bijection between affine isometric G -actions on B with linear part ρ and continuous 1-cocycles on G with coefficients in ρ . The topology of uniform convergence on compacta turns $Z_{cont}^1(G, \rho)$ into a Fréchet space.

An affine isometric action (with linear part ρ) possesses a fixed point if and only if the corresponding cocycle belongs to the subspace

$$B^1(G, \rho) = \{\mu \in Z_{cont}^1(G, \rho), \mu(g) = \xi - \rho(g)\xi \text{ for some } \xi \in B\}. \quad (4.7)$$

The vanishing of the cohomology group

$$H_{cont}^1(G, \rho) = Z_{cont}^1(G, \rho) / B^1(G, \rho) \quad (4.8)$$

is therefore equivalent to the assertion that every continuous isometric action of G on B with linear part ρ possesses a fixed point.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that $H_{cont}^1(G, \rho) = 0$ and let $\psi_t, t \in [0, 1]$ be a smooth family of cocycles. Then there exists a family $\xi_t \in B, t \in [0, 1]$ of fixed points of the corresponding affine actions which depends smoothly on t .*

Proof: There is a canonical exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B^{\rho(G)} \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{p} B^1(G, \rho) \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.9)$$

of abstract vector spaces where p assigns to a vector $\xi \in B$ the cocycle $p(\xi) : g \mapsto \xi - \rho(g)\xi$. Under our hypothesis $B^1(G, \rho) = Z_{cont}^1(G, \rho)$ and is thus complete. Therefore (4.9) becomes an exact sequence of Fréchet spaces which splits according to (4.4). In particular, the projection p possesses a bounded linear section which assigns to every cocycle a fixed point of the corresponding affine action. This implies the lemma because bounded linear maps are smooth. $\square$

The result of Bader, Furman, Gelfander and Monod that we wish to extend to noncommutative ℓ^p -spaces is the

Theorem 4.2. *[BFGM] Let G be a product of higher rank groups or a lattice in such a group (see section 3). Let (X, μ) be a measure space and let ρ be any orthogonal representation of G on the Banach space $L^p(X, \mu)$ where $1 < p < \infty$. Then $H_{cont}^1(G, \rho) = 0$.*

It should be mentioned that Bader, Furman, Gelfander and Monod conjecture that any affine isometric action of a higher rank group or lattice on a uniformly convex Banach space has a fixed point. In fact they deduce the previous theorem from the following result which has a more general flavor.

Theorem 4.3. *[BFGM] Let G be a product of higher rank groups (see section 2) and let ρ_B be an orthogonal representation of G on a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space B . Suppose that there exists an orthogonal representation ρ of G on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and a homeomorphism $\Phi : S(B) \rightarrow S(\mathcal{H})$ between the unit spheres of B and $\mathcal{H}$ such that*

- Φ intertwines the G -actions induced by ρ_B and ρ .
- Φ and Φ^{-1} are uniformly continuous.

Then

$$H_{cont}^1(G, \rho_B) = 0.$$

We will show in the sequel that this theorem applies to various affine isometric actions of higher rank groups on operator ideals.

5 Proofs

5.1 Geometry of Schatten ideals

We fix from now on a real number p , $1 < p < \infty$. The Schatten-von Neumann ideal $\ell^p(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is the ideal of compact operators whose sequence of singular values is p -summable. It is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$\|T\|_p = \text{Trace}((T^*T)^{\frac{p}{2}})^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (5.1)$$

The Schatten spaces are symmetrically normed operator ideals, i.e. one has

$$\|ATB\|_p \leq \|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})} \|T\|_p \|B\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})} \quad (5.2)$$

for $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $T \in \ell^p(\mathcal{H})$. In particular, if $\rho : G \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ is a unitary representation of a locally compact group G on $\mathcal{H}$, then

$$\rho_p : G \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\ell^p(\mathcal{H})), \quad \rho_p(g)(T) = \rho(g)T\rho(g)^{-1} \quad (5.3)$$

is a strongly continuous, isometric, $\mathbb{C}$ -linear representation of G on $\ell^p(\mathcal{H})$.

We will need rather precise information about the geometry of the Banach spaces $\ell^p(\mathcal{H})$ for $1 < p < \infty$. We begin with

Theorem 5.1. (Clarkson-MacCarthy),[Si]

The Banach spaces $\ell^p(\mathcal{H})$, $1 < p < \infty$ are uniformly convex.

This generalizes the corresponding result for the classical Banach spaces $L^p(X, \mu)$. From [LT], 1.e.9.(i) one derives further

Corollary 5.2. *Let (X, μ) be a Borel space. Then for $1 < p < \infty$ the Banach space*

$$L^p(X, \ell^p(\mathcal{H})) \quad (5.4)$$

obtained from the space $C_c(X, \ell^p(\mathcal{H}))$ of continuous compactly supported functions on X with values in $\ell^p(\mathcal{H})$ by completion with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_p^p = \int_X \text{Trace}((f^*(t)f(t))^{\frac{p}{2}}) dt \quad (5.5)$$

is uniformly convex.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$ be such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then*

$$\ell^p(\mathcal{H})^* \simeq \ell^q(\mathcal{H}), \quad L^p(X, \ell^p(\mathcal{H}))^* \simeq L^q(X, \ell^q(\mathcal{H})) \quad (5.6)$$

in the notations of 5.2.

In particular, the Schatten ideals $\ell^p(\mathcal{H})$, $1 < p < \infty$ are uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach spaces.

Now we will study the polar decomposition in $\ell^p(\mathcal{H})$. The basic result in this direction is

Theorem 5.4. (Powers-Stoermer inequality), [PS]

Let A, B be positive trace class operators on $\mathcal{H}$. Then

$$\|A^{\frac{1}{2}} - B^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_2^2 \leq \|A - B\|_1. \quad (5.7)$$

This inequality has been generalized by various authors. In particular, Ando proved the following

Theorem 5.5. (Generalized Powers-Stoermer inequality), [A]

Let $0 < \alpha < 1$ and let A, B be positive operators in $\ell^p(\mathcal{H})$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then

$$\|A^\alpha - B^\alpha\|_{\frac{p}{\alpha}}^{\frac{p}{\alpha}} \leq \|A - B\|_p^p. \quad (5.8)$$

The generalized Powers-Stoermer inequalities are needed to establish the

Corollary 5.6. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$. Then the Mazur map*

$$\begin{aligned} M_{p,q} : S(\ell^p(\mathcal{H})) &\longrightarrow S(\ell^q(\mathcal{H})) \\ T &\longmapsto \text{sign}(T)|T|^{\frac{p}{q}} \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

is a uniformly continuous homeomorphism.

Proof: Note that $M_{p,p} = Id$ and $M_{q,r} \circ M_{p,q} = M_{p,r}$ for $1 < p, q, r < \infty$. Therefore it suffices to verify the claim in the following two cases: $1 < q < p < 3q$, $p \geq 2$, and $p < q$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. In the second case it is easily seen that $M_{p,q} = * \circ \iota$

where ι is the canonical homeomorphism (4.2) and $*$: $\ell^q(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \ell^q(\mathcal{H})$ maps an operator to its adjoint. Thus $M_{p,q}$ is obviously uniformly continuous in this case. If $1 < q < p < 3q$, $p \geq 2$, we find with $0 < r = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{p}{q} - 1) < 1$ that

$$M_{p,q}(T) = \operatorname{sgn}(T)|T|^{\frac{p}{q}} = T|T|^{2r} = T(T^*T)^r$$

The Hoelder and the generalized Powers-Stoermer inequalities yield then for $S, T \in S(\ell^p(\mathcal{H}))$

$$\begin{aligned} & \| M_{p,q}(S) - M_{p,q}(T) \|_q \leq \\ & \leq \| (S - T)(S^*S)^r \|_q + \| T((S^*S)^r - (T^*T)^r) \|_q \\ & \leq \| S - T \|_p \| (S^*S)^r \|_{\frac{p}{2r}} + \| T \|_p \| (S^*S)^r - (T^*T)^r \|_{\frac{p}{2r}} \\ & \leq \| S - T \|_p (\| S^*S \|_{\frac{p}{2}})^r + \| T \|_p (\| S^*S - T^*T \|_{\frac{p}{2}})^r \\ & \leq \| S - T \|_p (\| S^* \|_p \| S \|_p)^r + \| T \|_p (\| S^* - T^* \|_p \| S \|_p + \| T^* \|_p \| S - T \|_p)^r \\ & \leq \| S - T \|_p + (2 \| S - T \|_p)^r \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $M_{p,q}$ is uniformly continuous. $\square$

Similar estimates lead to

Corollary 5.7. *Let (X, μ) be a Borel space and let $1 < p, q < \infty$. Then the Mazur map*

$$\begin{aligned} M_{p,q} : S(L^p(X, \ell^p(\mathcal{H}))) & \longrightarrow S(L^q(X, \ell^q(\mathcal{H}))) \\ f & \longmapsto \operatorname{sign}(f)|f|^{\frac{p}{q}} \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

is a uniformly continuous homeomorphism.

5.2 Rigidity of actions on Schatten ideals

We are ready to apply the results of Bader, Furman, Gelander and Monod in our framework.

Theorem 5.8. *Let G be a product of higher rank groups as in section 3 and let ρ be a unitary representation of G on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let ρ_p be the corresponding isometric representation on the Schatten ideals $\ell^p(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$H_{\text{cont}}^1(G, \rho_p) = 0 \text{ for } 1 < p < \infty. \quad (5.11)$$

Consider now a lattice $\Gamma \subset G$ in a higher rank group. The homogeneous space G/Γ is then of finite volume. According to 5.2 and 5.3 the Banach spaces $L^p(G/\Gamma, \ell^p(\mathcal{H}))$ are uniformly convex and uniformly smooth for $1 < p < \infty$. Suppose that in addition a unitary representation ρ of Γ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is given and let ρ_p be the corresponding isometric representation on $\ell^p(\mathcal{H})$. Then $L^p(G/\Gamma, \ell^p(\mathcal{H}))$ can be identified with the completion of the space $L^{[p]}(G, \ell^p(\mathcal{H}))^\Gamma$ of Γ -equivariant maps from G to $\ell^p(\mathcal{H})$ with respect to the norm $\| f \|_p = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \| f(g) \|_p^p dg$ ($\mathcal{D} \subset G$ a Borel fundamental domain for Γ). The latter space carries a canonical isometric linear G -action coming from left translation.

Theorem 5.9. *Let G be a higher rank group and let Γ be a lattice in G . Let ρ be a unitary representation of Γ . Then in the previous notations*

$$H_{cont}^1(G, L^{[p]}(G, \ell^p(\mathcal{H}))^\Gamma) = 0 \text{ for } 1 < p < \infty. \quad (5.12)$$

Corollary 5.10. *Let Γ be a lattice in a product of higher rank groups as in section 2 and let ρ be a unitary representation of Γ on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let ρ_p be the corresponding isometric representation on the Schatten ideals $\ell^p(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$H^1(\Gamma, \rho_p) = 0 \text{ for } 1 < p < \infty. \quad (5.13)$$

Proof: (of the theorem) We begin with a proof of (5.11). We want to apply theorem 4.3 to the isometric representations ρ_p on the Schatten ideals $\ell^p(\mathcal{H})$. For $1 < p < \infty$ these ideals are uniformly convex and uniformly smooth 5.3. Note that $\ell^2(\mathcal{H})$ is the Hilbert space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators on $\mathcal{H}$. By construction the Mazur map $M_{p,2} : S(\ell^p(\mathcal{H})) \rightarrow S(\ell^2(\mathcal{H}))$ (5.10) intertwines the isometric representations ρ_p and ρ_2 . Moreover $M_{p,2}$ as well as its inverse $M_{2,p}$ are uniformly continuous by 5.6. Thus Theorem 4.3 of Bader, Furman, Gelander and Monod applies and yields (5.11). The demonstration of (5.12) is similar and uses 5.2 and 5.7. $\square$

Proof: (of the corollary) The well known idea is to use induction of representations and affine isometric actions from Γ to G in order to reduce the statement to (5.12). The arguments in [BFGM], section 8.1, 8.2 apply verbatim to our situation and yield $H^1(\Gamma, \rho_p) \simeq H_{cont}^1(G, L^{[p]}(G, \ell^p(\mathcal{H}))^\Gamma)$ The claim follows then from 5.9. $\square$

5.3 Finitely summable modules over higher rank groups and lattices

The following consequence of the preceding rigidity theorems will immediately lead to our main results

Proposition 5.11. *Let G be a product of higher rank groups or a lattice in such a group. Let $1 < p < \infty$.*

- i) Every p -summable Fredholm representation $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{H}, \rho, F)$ is smoothly operator homotopic (among p -summable representations) to a Fredholm representation $\mathcal{E}' = (\mathcal{H}, \rho, F')$ such that $[F', \rho(G)] = 0$.*
- ii) Let $\mathcal{E}_i = (\mathcal{H}, \rho, F_i)$, $i = 0, 1$, be p -summable Fredholm representations which are smoothly operator homotopic and satisfy $[F_i, \rho(G)] = 0$. Then there exists a smooth operator homotopy $\mathcal{E}_t = (\mathcal{H}, \rho, F_t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, connecting $\mathcal{E}_0$ and $\mathcal{E}_1$ and satisfying $[F_t, \rho(G)] = 0$, $\forall t \in [0, 1]$.*

Proof: Let $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{H}, \rho, F)$ be a p -summable Fredholm representation. Then the map $\psi : G \rightarrow \ell^p(\mathcal{H})$, $g \mapsto \rho(g)F\rho(g)^{-1} - F$ defines a continuous one-cocycle

$\psi \in Z_{cont}^1(G, \rho_p)$. According to theorems 5.8, 5.9, this cocycle is a coboundary, i.e. $\psi(g) = \rho(g)F\rho(g)^{-1} - F = \rho(g)T\rho(g)^{-1} - T$ for some operator $T \in \ell^p(\mathcal{H})$ and all $g \in G$. Thus $\mathcal{E}_s = (\mathcal{H}, \rho, F - sT)$ is a smooth operator homotopy between $\mathcal{E}$ and the desired Fredholm representation $\mathcal{E}' = (\mathcal{H}, \rho, F - T)$ satisfying $[F - T, \rho(G)] = 0$. If $\mathcal{E}_t = (\mathcal{H}, \rho, F_t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$ is a smooth operator homotopy then the corresponding family of cocycles is smooth. According to 4.1 there exists a smooth family $T_t \in \ell^p(\mathcal{H})$, $t \in [0, 1]$ satisfying $[F_t, \rho(g)] = [T_t, \rho(g)]$, $\forall g \in G, t \in [0, 1]$. Thus $\mathcal{E}'_t = (\mathcal{H}, \rho, F_t - T_t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$ defines the desired operator homotopy consisting of operators commuting strictly with G . $\square$

For the proof of 3.1 we still need the well known

Theorem 5.12. [BFGM] (Moore Ergodicity Theorem)

Let $G(k)$ be the group of k -rational points of a simply connected, semisimple algebraic group over the local field k . Let ρ be a unitary representation of G on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Then either there are nonzero vectors fixed under $\rho(G(k))$ or all matrix coefficients of ρ vanish at infinity, i.e. $\{g \mapsto \langle \xi, \rho(g)\eta \rangle\} \in C_0(G)$, $\forall \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1: Let $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{H}, \rho, F)$ be a p -summable Fredholm representation of G . After a smooth operator homotopy we may suppose by 5.10.i) that F and $\rho(G)$ strictly commute. Moreover we may suppose that F is selfadjoint. The subspace $\text{Ker}(F) \subset \mathcal{H}$ as well as its orthogonal complement $\mathcal{H}'$ are then stable under $\rho(G)$. The triple $\mathcal{E}' = (\mathcal{H}', \rho|_{\mathcal{H}'}, F' = F|_{\mathcal{H}'})$ is then smoothly operator homotopic to the degenerate triple $\mathcal{D}' = (\mathcal{H}', \rho|_{\mathcal{H}'}, \frac{F'}{\|F'\|})$. Thus our original representation is equivalent to the finite dimensional Fredholm representation $(\text{Ker} F, \rho_{\text{Ker} F}, 0)$. It remains to show that every finite dimensional unitary representation of G is trivial. If not, there is a finite dimensional unitary representation ρ' of G without fixed vectors. By Moore's ergodicity theorem the function $g \mapsto \det(\rho'(g))$, $g \in G$, which is a homogeneous polynomial in the matrix coefficients of ρ , has to vanish at infinity. This contradicts the fact that this function is of absolute value 1 everywhere because ρ' is unitary. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.2: The same reasoning as in the proof of theorem 3.1 shows that the canonical homomorphism $R(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{KK}_\Gamma^{(p)}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ is surjective and that $\mathcal{KK}_1^{(p)}((C_{max}^*(\Gamma), \mathbb{C}\Gamma), \mathbb{C}) = 0$. We want to show that the canonical map is injective as well. So let $[\rho], [\rho'] \in R(\Gamma)$ be a virtual finite dimensional unitary representations of Γ which define the same class in $\mathcal{KK}_0^{(p)}((C_{max}^*(\Gamma), \mathbb{C}\Gamma), \mathbb{C})$. According to 5.10 ii) one actually may find a homotopy of virtual unitary representations on a fixed finite dimensional $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Hilbert space which connects $[\rho]$ and $[\rho']$.

Every higher rank group as considered in section 2 has Kazhdan's property T [HV], i.e. the trivial representation is an isolated point in the unitary dual with respect to the Fell topology. Property T is stable under taking finite products and passage to lattices [HV]. Moreover it is easily seen that under the presence of property T not only the trivial representation but in fact every finite dimensional unitary representation is an isolated point in the unitary dual. Thus any homotopy of finite dimensional virtual unitary representations of a property T group is necessarily

constant which proves our claim. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.3: Using 5.10 again we see (after using a smooth operator homotopy and the subtraction of a degenerate module) that every class in $\mathcal{KK}_*^{(p)}((C_{red}^*(\Gamma), \mathbb{C}\Gamma), \mathbb{C})$ may be represented by a Fredholm module $\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{H}', \rho, 0)$ over $C_{red}^*(\Gamma)$ with finite dimensional underlying Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}'$. According to [Di], 18.9.5 every finite dimensional representation of the reduced group C^* -algebra of a nonamenable locally compact group is identically zero. This applies in particular to the lattices under consideration which possess property T and are not compact and therefore nonamenable. Thus the considered Fredholm module $\mathcal{E}$ is identically zero and the theorem follows. $\square$

References

- [A] T. ANDO, Comparison of norms $\|f(A) - f(B)\|$ and $\|f(|A - B|)\|$, Math. Z. 197 (1988), no. 3, 403–409.
- [BFGM] U. BADER, A. FURMAN, T. GELANDER, N. MONOD, Property (T) and rigidity for actions on Banach spaces, Acta Math. 198 (2007), no. 1, 57–105.
- [Co1] A. CONNES, Noncommutative differential geometry, Publ. Math. IHES 62, (1985), 41–144
- [Co2] A. CONNES, Noncommutative geometry, Academic Press (1994), 661 pp.
- [Co3] A. CONNES, Compact metric spaces, Fredholm modules and hyperfiniteness, Ergod. Th. and Dyn. Syst. 9 (1989), 207–220
- [Di] J. DIXMIER, Les C^* -algèbres et leurs représentations, Gauthier-Villars, (1969)
- [HV] P. DE LA HARPE, A. VALETTE, La propriété (T) de Kazhdan pour les groupes localement compacts, Astisque No. 175 (1989),
- [Ka1] G. KASPAROV, Operator K-functor and extensions of C^* -algebras, Izv. Akad. Nauk. CCCP Ser. Math. 44 (1980), 571–636
- [Ka2] G. KASPAROV, Equivariant KK -theory and the Novikov conjecture, Invent. Math. 91 (1988), 147–201
- [LT] J. LINDENSTRAUSS, L. TZAFRIRI, Classical Banach spaces I, II, Springer (1977)
- [Ni] V. NISTOR, A bivariant Chern-Connes character, Ann. of Math. 138 (1993), 555–590

- [PS] R. POWERS, E. STOERMER, Free states of the canonical anticommutation relations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 16 (1970), 1–33.
- [Pu] M. PUSCHNIGG, Diffeotopy functors of ind-algebras and local cyclic cohomology, *Docum. Math. J.* 8 (2003), 143–245
- [Si] B. SIMON, Trace ideals and their applications, *LMS Lecture Notes* 35, Cambridge University Press (1979)